## **EXHIBIT 1**

Case: 13-2007 Document: 00116622852 Page: 1 Date Filed: 12/10/2013 Entry ID: 5786668

## United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 13-2007

IN RE: NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

SANDRA F. ARTIS, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs, Appellants,

V.

ALAUNUS PHARMACEUTICAL, LLC, ET AL.,

Defendants, Appellees.

## ORDER OF COURT

Entered: December 10, 2013

The motion for leave to intervene filed by the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors is allowed. In addition to the merits, the briefs on each side should discuss the following issues:

- 1. Appellants appear to be appealing the district court's decision not to abstain mandatorily from exercising whatever "related-to" jurisdiction it may have. They presumably will not be harmed if their state court cases reach a final judgment with <u>res judicata</u> consequences for the federal proceedings. In these circumstances, does this court have jurisdiction under traditional collateral order doctrine, considered without regard to 28 U.S.C. § 1334(d)? <u>Cf. Mt. McKinley Ins. Co. v. Corning Inc.</u>, 399 F.3d 436, 442-43 (2d Cir. 2005).
- 2. Turning to § 1334(d), does the district court's 5/31/13 Order involve "a decision not to abstain in a proceeding described in subsection (c)(2)" of § 1334, where the court abstained under subsection (c)(1) after finding subsection (c)(2) inapplicable because of a statutory exception?
- 3. Does the parenthetical in § 1334(d)--"(other than a decision not to abstain in a proceeding described in subsection (c)(2))"--implicitly establish a right to appeal decisions to which it applies, or does it only preserve any appellate rights a party might have apart from § 1334?
  - 4. Does the jurisdiction-stripping clause of § 1334(d) effectively bar mandamus review?

Case 1:13-md-02419-RWZ Document 1163-1 Filed 06/02/14 Page 3 of 5 Case: 13-2007 Document: 00116622852 Page: 2 Date Filed: 12/10/2013 Entry ID: 5786668

If appellants file a petition for a writ of mandamus, the petition will be consolidated with this appeal to the extent possible. The briefs on each side should incorporate the mandamus-related issues.

So ordered.

By the Court:

/s/ Margaret Carter, Clerk.

cc:

Kip Adams

Joseph Ahillen

Thomas Althauser

Mark Anderson

William Baldiga

**Brett Bean** 

Mary Bers

Jay Blumberg

Alan Bozer

Sean Capplis

Joanna Chen

William Christie

Ryan Ciporkin

Matthew Cline

Paul Corson

Zachary Cunha

Robert Curley, Jr.

William Dailey, Jr.

Matthew Daly

**Timothy Dardas** 

Richard Dean

Theresa Domenico

Robert Durant

Richard Ford

Michael Gardner

Robert Gaynor

Brian Gerling

C.J. Gideon Jr.

Mark Goodman

Michael Gottfried

Mary Greer

Stephen Grossman

Case 1:13-md-02419-RWZ Document 1163-1 Filed 06/02/14 Page 4 of 5 Case: 13-2007 Document: 00116622852 Page: 3 Date Filed: 12/10/2013 Entry ID: 5786668

Randy Hackney

Michael Hager

Carrie Hanger

Terrill Harris

Christopher Hassell

Michael Hayes

Eric Hoffman

Robert Hood Jr.

Kathryn Humphrey

Martin Hyman

Sarah Kelly

Joseph Lang

John Lovely

**Gregory Lyons** 

**Matthew Mantalos** 

Matthew Matule

Ron Meisler

Louis Moffa

Maura Monaghan

G. Adam Moyers

Kristen Mykulak

Allen Neely

Christopher O'Hara

James O'Keefe, IV

Cynthia Palin

Michael Pikiel, Jr.

Michael Pineault

Yvonne Puig

Kristen Ragosta

John Ryan

Michael Sams

Nicki Samson

Clinton Shaw

**Paul Shaw** 

John Sly

Mark Smith

Halley Stephens

Chris Tardio

Joseph Thomas

Melinda Thompson

**Daniel Tranen** 

Scott Tucker

**Donald Walsh** 

Kennth Walton

Mary-Rose Watson

Cara Weiner

Case 1:13-md-02419-RWZ Document 1163-1 Filed 06/02/14 Page 5 of 5 Case: 13-2007 Document: 00116622852 Page: 4 Date Filed: 12/10/2013 Entry ID: 5786668

Alan Winchester Cari Wint Christopher Wolk John Mark Zini